Malaria treatment in remote areas of Mali: use of modern and traditional medicines, patient outcome
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Summary
Use of official health services often remains low despite great efforts to improve quality of care. Are informal treatments responsible for keeping a number of patients away from standard care, and if so, why? Through a questionnaire survey with proportional cluster samples, we studied the case histories of 952 children in Bandiagara and Sikasso areas of Mali. Most children with reported uncomplicated malaria were first treated at home (87%) with modern medicines alone (40%), a mixture of modern and traditional treatments (33%), or traditional treatment alone (27%). For severe episodes (224 cases), a traditional treatment alone was used in 50% of the cases. Clinical recovery after uncomplicated malaria was above 98% with any type of treatment. For presumed severe malaria, the global mortality rate was 17%; it was not correlated with the type of treatment used (traditional or modern, at home or elsewhere). In the study areas, informal treatments divert a high proportion of patients away from official health services. Patients’ experience that outcome after standard therapeutic itineraries is not better than after alternative care may help to explain low use of official health services. We need to study whether some traditional treatments available in remote villages should be considered real, recommendable first aid.

© 2005 Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
1. Introduction

In Mali, attendance at community health centres often remains poor despite great efforts to improve quality of care. Several explanations have been proposed: patients’ lack of resources, long distances from home to the nearest health centre, poor health services management (Huissoud et al., 2004). This situation seems common in Africa, and has been related to the fact that modern medicines often remain problematic in remote areas; even when apparently available, they are often unaffordable, misused or even counterfeit (Basco, 2004; Dossou-Yovo et al., 2001; Tumwesigye and Watson, 2002).

From discussions with community representatives, we suspected that another reason could partly explain low attendance in community health centres: self-medication and traditional medicines might keep patients away from official health services. We were able to test this hypothesis through a case study: an enquiry about therapeutic practices for children with presumed malaria, uncomplicated or severe, in remote rural areas.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design

This work was a collaborative programme between the Department of Traditional Medicine (National Institute of Research in Public Health, Mali) and Antenna Technologies (Geneva, Switzerland). A population-based retrospective survey, with questionnaires, was conducted in two rural districts in southern and eastern Mali. Field research was complemented by laboratory screening of the local treatments correlated with the best clinical outcome.

2.2. Definition of malaria

Two perspectives were used and compared: popular knowledge, which is the basis for therapeutic decisions at the village level, and community health centre clinical definitions. It was already known that in Mali popular knowledge distinguishes between uncomplicated and severe malaria (Traore, 1999). The clinical definition of malaria was based on national malaria policy; in community health centres, where laboratory tests are not available, any fever is considered to be malaria unless there is another obvious cause and any fever with coma or convulsion during the rainy season or soon after is treated as severe malaria. Recent studies tend to increase reliance on clinical definitions of malaria, in showing that Plasmodium falciparum parasitaemia is not well correlated with actual disease (some patients have low parasitaemia and severe symptoms; others have high parasitaemia with no clinical disease) except for very high parasitaemias (Delley et al., 2000; Von Seidef et al., 2002).

2.3. Study sites

The study areas were chosen in view of their relative isolation (long distances to the modern health centre). The health area of Kendité is located in Bandiagara region, 45 km away from the nearest city and hospital of Bandiagara. The vast majority of inhabitants are farmers. In Kendité there is a community health centre and there is also a traditional healers’ association. The health area of Finkolo AC is situated in Sikasso region, 40 km away from the region’s capital, Sikasso, on the road to Burkina Faso. In Finkolo there is a community health centre. In the area there are many traditional healers, who are not organized in an association. Agriculture is the main economic activity in this region.

2.4. Population

A questionnaire was administered in households at the end of the rainy season (high transmission period). As it is usually impossible to have just one person answering a questionnaire in a village, every interview was set up as a mini-focus group (with the mother, and a few family members) about one particular patient (age 0–16 years) and disease episode with a recall period of 2 weeks for an uncomplicated episode and 6 months for severe malaria. Proportional cluster samples were drawn according to the population census and continuous civil records of the areas (Bennett et al., 1991). Sample size was decided on the basis of the expected prevalence of malaria in the area, in order to find at least 600 uncomplicated malaria cases.

2.5. Data collection

As this study was, to our knowledge, the first of its kind (i.e. with this attention to outcome and disease severity), we had to construct and validate an original questionnaire. Several drafts were revised by malaria researchers, public health specialists, pharmacists, anthropologists, local health workers, malaria patients and parents. Then the questionnaire was pre-tested in 34 houses, with similar ethnic composition, adjacent to the study areas. Data were collected in December 2002–January 2003.

2.6. Data analyses

Data were analysed with Epilinfo 6 software (CDC, Atlanta, GA, USA), with $\chi^2$ and Fisher’s exact tests for discrete variables, and Kruskal-Wallis test for means.

2.7. Laboratory analyses

Samples of plants with the best clinical outcomes, determined by analysis of correlation between outcome and treatments (Graz et al., 2005) were collected in the Sikasso region. The plant parts used locally (e.g. stems and leaves) were extracted with water and organic solvents (dichloromethane, methanol and ethanol) at the Department of Traditional Medicine in Bamako. Extracts were freeze-dried and used for biological tests. The extracts were tested for their IC$_{50}$ (concentration inhibiting 50% of parasite growth) on cultured chloroquine-resistant P. falciparum (strain K1, stage IEF, Swiss Tropical Institute, Basel, Switzerland). In these tests, the control was chloroquine.
2.8. Ethical aspects

The study was accepted by the Malian ethical committee. It was conducted in retrospect because we were also interested in severe malaria and its actual local treatments.

3. Results

Information to the local people and respect of traditional etiquette resulted in a good acceptance of the survey: from the 483 households in the Bandiagara region and 469 households in the Sikasso region, nobody refused to answer the questionnaire, so the global response rate was 100%; some questions, however, were not answered by 100% of respondents, as will be mentioned below.

Unless otherwise mentioned, results presented here are derived from pooled records from both regions (Finkolo and Kendié areas). Similarities in sample sizes and results justify such pooling.

Local designations of uncomplicated and severe malaria were in fairly close agreement with definitions based on clinical criteria and used in local dispensaries and health centres (86% agreement in Bandiagara, 98% in Sikasso region), the latter being used for correlation analyses below.

As shown in Figure 1, self-medication (traditional or modern medicine, see below) as first treatment was the rule for uncomplicated malaria (87% of 719 cases), with increased recourse to traditional healers and modern health centres when a second treatment was sought. For severe malaria (224 cases with a first treatment, 84 with a second), 55% started with self-medication, while visits to traditional healers were relatively frequent (32 vs. 4% for an uncomplicated episode, \( P < 0.001 \)). Modern health centres tended to receive more demands for severe episodes (13 vs. 9% for an uncomplicated episode, \( P = 0.08 \)).

In the case of uncomplicated malaria, more than 60% of the patients received treatment at the first symptoms. For severe malaria, only 24% were treated before convulsions or coma.

Table 1 shows that exclusive use of traditional medicine as first treatment was more common in severe malaria. Exclusive use of traditional medicine was also the most frequent second treatment, whatever the type of malaria. The traditional medicines used were mainly medicinal plants (91%), otherwise incantations and prayers (4%), or others (3%). Patients with uncomplicated malaria that were treated with medicinal plants took them orally and as a bath in 95% of the cases (fumigation 4%, others 1%). For severe malaria treated with plants, 59% of patients had baths, usually followed by oral administration as soon as possible; fumigation and inhalation were often reported in case of severe malaria (35%) as a way of giving treatment to a comatose or convulsing child, as well as massage with a plant-based ointment (4%, others 2%).

The trend was towards less modern treatment with more remoteness, which was statistically significant in the case of severe malaria in the Sikasso region: among those living more than 15 km away from the PHC centre (63% of the sample) more than half (54%) started with traditional treatment only, compared with 33% among those living closer (\( P = 0.04 \)). Modern treatment was more often sought when a motor vehicle was available in the household (alone or with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Number and percentage of patients receiving each type of treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uncomplicated malaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st Rx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modern only, n (%)</td>
<td>294 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional only, n (%)</td>
<td>183 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both, n (%)</td>
<td>242 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, n (%)</td>
<td>719 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2  Progress of patients after different treatments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Cured</th>
<th>Cured with sequels</th>
<th>Death</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uncomplicated malaria</td>
<td>218 (100)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modern only, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional only, n (%)</td>
<td>144 (98)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both, n (%)</td>
<td>326 (100)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe malaria</td>
<td>16 (70)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>6 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modern only, n (%)</td>
<td>65 (82)</td>
<td>5 (6)</td>
<td>9 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional only, n (%)</td>
<td>84 (76)</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
<td>21 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both, n (%)</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

The objective of this study was to examine how and why use of home- or village-based treatments may keep patients away from official health services. For this, we studied therapeutic practices and subsequent patient progress in presumed malaria episodes in remote areas.

Self-medication (modern drugs or traditional medicine) was the most frequent type of first treatment sought, even for suspected severe malaria. For severe malaria, traditional medicine was the only treatment used in half the cases. After uncomplicated malaria, total recovery was above 96% with any type of treatment. For severe malaria, the global mortality rate was 17% and did not significantly differ with the type of treatment used. Some local products were systematically associated with good clinical outcome and found to have medium to high in-vitro antiplasmodial activity.

Severity in itself was not a reason for seeking modern health care: only 24% of patients with severe malaria chose modern medicine as their first option, whereas 41% of those with uncomplicated malaria did so. One reason for this could be that severe malaria is more often related to supernatural events (Berche, 1994). Another explanation could be the perceived effectiveness of traditional medicine for severe malaria and experience of high case-fatality rate in the hospital.

The trend was towards fewer fatalities after traditional treatment (11%) than after modern treatment (26%). One explanation might be that some of those considered as suffering from severe malaria in our sample actually had another less fatal illness (the same applies to the 2% of fatalities observed after presumed uncomplicated malaria: it might have been another disease). Uncomplicated malaria might have become severe, although in this case the patient should have been registered only once, as a severe case. Another possible explanation is a certain degree of effectiveness of traditional treatments. Even if they are less effective than modern drugs in absolute terms, they could improve the prognosis of malaria patients because of the shorter time lag between onset of the malaria episode and treatment. In other words, greater effectiveness of care offered in modern health centres might be offset by the fact that patients arrive there after a long journey in a more critical state. Such a hypothesis could be tested with a non-experimental design, for ethical reasons (Willcox et al., 2004).

The plants found to have high activity against chloroquine-resistant *P. falciparum* were not necessarily described as such in the literature. *Spondias mombin* (Anacardiaceae) is a medium-sized tree bearing edible fruits (‘hog plums’), common in both South America and tropical Africa. The bark and leaves are traditionally used for disinfections (e.g. abscesses, caries, conjunctivitis). Anti-herpetic tannins have been isolated and characterized from this plant. *Opilia celtidifolia* (Guill. et Perr.) (Opiliaceae) is either a small tree or a creeper reaching 8–10 m and restricted to tropical West Africa. The roots and leaves are used to treat fever, influenza and intestinal worms. Rare modern studies on this plant demonstrated its high content in saponins and tannins. *Argemone mexicana* L. (Mexican poppy or prickly poppy) is a robust herbaceous annual, a member of the poppy family (Papaveraceae).
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(Arbonnier, 2002). This weed looks like a thistle, but its yellow flowers are characteristic of the Papaveraceae, as is its abundant bright yellow latex. Typical chemical constituents are benzophenanthridine alkaloids (among them berberine, protopine and sanguinarine). Securinega virissa (Roxb. ex Willd.) (Euphorbiaceae) is a 2–3 m shrub common in West Africa, but also in Australia and Asia. It has numerous traditional uses, and its roots are frequently described as soporific and analgesic, although its leaves are considered a stimulant and an aphrodisiac. This plant has been studied for trypanocidal activity.

Limitations of this study include the uncertainty created by the controversial definition of malaria in areas where diagnosis and therapeutic decisions must be performed without laboratory facilities. The fact that the diagnosis of malaria, both severe and uncomplicated, is not by necessity confirmed greatly weakens the conclusions made. Definitions of malaria by traditional practitioners probably result in over-diagnosis: in a study by Willocx et al. (unpublished) of 245 patients diagnosed with ‘soumaya’ (considered equivalent to uncomplicated malaria) by a traditional practitioner in south Mali, 30 had no plasmodia in their blood and 118 had only a low parasitaemia (<2000 parasites/µl), thus not reaching commonly used criteria of malaria. Observation of actual therapeutic itineraries along categories of ‘first’ and ‘second’ treatments is very schematic and probably elicits only part of the very complex process of health-care-seeking behaviours. Data may be incomplete and biased, because they are based on memories of the past 6 months. Missing data on progress was probably due to the interviewer, because it is unlikely that parents forgot the outcome of their child’s disease. For dramatic events the recall errors are probably small, but for details they can be important.

For severe malaria, recommendations are to bring the sick child to the health centre as fast as possible. However, travel is often difficult, especially during the rainy season, and health professionals attribute many of their treatment failures to delayed access to modern treatment in the case of severe malaria, as well as delayed or inappropriate treatment in the case of uncomplicated malaria (Muller et al., 2003; Noor et al., 2003). The first few malaria episodes are the most dangerous, because immunity to malaria rises rapidly after a limited number of infections (Gupta et al., 1999), so it seems important to study every potential way of improving the care of young patients.

After this study, we suggest that the potential role of traditional treatments for malaria should be further investigated. To start with, a retrospective outcome and treatment study seems very useful. Then one can search for new anti-malarial molecules. But other interesting directions exist as well: the development of new ‘medicaments traditionnels améliorés’ (improved traditional medicines), which is a national policy in Mali; and the study of potential recommendations for home-first-aid treatments with local resources. The study of physiological and immunomodulating actions of traditional antimalarials also deserves more attention: some local treatments could be found to act in a way that is not antiparasitic as such. They might counterbalance metabolic or haemodynamic disturbances that are life-threatening in malaria; some products may correct hypoglycaemia or lac- tic acidosis, improve capillary flow, prevent convulsions, or boost the immune response. Anecdotal reports in the course of this study, of patients waking immediately after an inhalation, may be an indication of product effect not solely related to the parasite cycle. To determine physiologic activities would require specific research (for example with animal models or healthy volunteers).

5. Conclusions
In the areas studied, self-medication and traditional medicines for malaria divert a high proportion of patients away from modern health centres, especially for severe episodes. For the latter, case-fatality rates tend to be lower after traditional home- or village-based treatments, although without any statistically significant difference. This suggests that distance, poor quality service, or economic barriers are not the only reasons why attendance to health centres is poor, but also perceived effectiveness of alternative care. Rather than ignoring or suppressing this situation, a pragmatic approach could be to study the potential effectiveness of some home-care and whether local or regional synergies between different health systems could improve patient outcome.
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